

## Muzaffarpur Institute of Technology, Muzaffarpur

(Under the Department of Science & Technology Govt. of Bihar, Patna)

### **Department of Information Technology**

Ref. No. - MIT/IT/370 Date: 06<sup>th</sup> Jan 2023

#### **NOTICE**

All students of IT 7<sup>th</sup> Semester, Batch - 2019 are here by instructed to follow the schedule for the evaluation (presentation and demonstration) of progress of Project - I.

S. No.	Project Progress Evaluation	Marks	Date	Time	Evaluators
1	Phase - I	50	17 Jan 2023		
2	Phase - II	50	3 Feb 2023	10:00 AM	Head of Department,
3	Phase - III	50	17 Feb 2023	То	Project Coordinator and Respective Project
4	Phase - IV	50	2 Mar 2023	5:00 PM	Guide
5	Final Evaluation	100	15 Mar 2023		

All members of each group must be present with their work and a presentation (PPT) on schedule date in IT Lab - I.

External project evaluation/viva will be as per schedule of AKU.

Final Project Coordinator

Ashir Kumaz

IT, MIT Muzaffarpur

HoD, IT MIT Muzaffarpur

## Rubric for evaluation of the project

# Rubric R1: Project Topic/Proposal Evaluation

Criterion		Level of Achievement					
	Criterion	Excellent (4)	Good (3)	Average (2)	Poor (1)	Score	
a	Identification of Problem Domain and Detailed analysis	Detailed and extensive explanation of the purpose and need of the project.	Good explanation of the purpose and need of the project	Average explanation of the purpose and need of the project;	Moderate explanation of the purpose and need of the project		
b	Feasibility of project proposal	Detailed and extensive explanation of the specifications and the limitations of the existing systems	Collects a great deal of information and good study of the existing systems	Moderate study of the existing systems; collects some basic information	Explanation of the specifications and the limitations of the existing systems not very satisfactory; limited information		
c	Objectives and Methodology of Project Proposal	All objectives of the proposed work are well defined; Steps to be followed to solve the defined problem are clearly specified	Good justification to the objectives; Methodology to be followed is specified but detailing is not done	Incomplete justification to the objectives proposed; Steps are mentioned but unclear; without justification to objectives	Only Some objectives of the proposed work are well defined; Steps to be followed to solve the defined problem are not specified properly		

**Rubric R2: Mid-term Project Evaluation** 

Cuitarian		Level of Achievement					
	Criterion	Excellent (4)	Good (3)	Average (2)	Poor (1)	Score	
а	Design Methodology	Divison of problem into modules and good selection of computing framework.  Appropriate design methodology and properly justification.	Divison of problem into modules and good selection of computing framework  Design methodology not properly justified	Divison of problem into modules but inappropriate selection of computing framework.  Design methodology not defined properly	Partial divison of problem into modules and inappropriate selection of computing framework. Design methodology not defined properly		
b	Planning of Project Work	Time frame properly specified and being followed	Time frame properly specified but being followed partly	Time frame properly specified, but not being followed	Time frame not properly specified		
c	Demonstration and Presentation	Objectives achieved as per time frame. Contents of presentations are appropriate and well arranged. Proper eye contact with audience and clear voice with good spoken language	Objectives achieved as per time frame. Contents of presentations are appropriate but not well arranged. Satisfactory demonstration, clear voice with good spoken language but eye contact not proper	Objectives achieved as per time frame. Contents of presentations are appropriate but not well arranged. Eye contact with few people and unclear voice.	Objectives not achieved as per time frame. Contents of presentations are not appropriate. Demonstration not satisfactory		

**Rubric R3: End Semester Internal Project Evaluation** 

Criterion		Level of Achievement						
		Excellent (4)	Good (3)	Average (2)	Poor (1)	Score		
a	Incorporation of Suggestions	Changes are made as per modifications suggested during midterm evaluation and new innovations added	Changes are made as per modifications suggested during midterm evaluation and good justification	All major changes are made as per modifications suggested during mid- term evaluation	Suggestions during mid- term evaluation are not incorporated			
b	Project Demonstration	All defined objectives are achieved Each module working well and properly demonstrated All modules of project are well integrated and system working is accurate	All defined objectives are achieved Each module working well and properly demonstrated Integration of all modules not done and system working is not very satisfactory	All defined objectives are achieved Modules are working well in isolation and properly demonstrated Modules of project are not properly integrated	Only some of the defined objectives are achieved Modules are not in proper working form that further leads to failure of integrated system			
c	Presentation	Contents of presentations are appropriate and well delivered Proper eye contact with audience and clear voice with good spoken language	Contents of presentations are appropriate and well delivered Clear voice with good spoken language but less eye contact with audience	Contents of presentations are appropriate but not well delivered Eye contact with only few people and unclear voice	Contents of presentations are not appropriate and not well delivered Poor eye contact with audience and unclear voice			

**Rubric R4: Project Report Evaluation** 

Cuitanian		Level of Achievement						
	Criterion	Excellent (4)	Good (3)	Average (2)	Poor (1)	Score		
a	Project Report	Project report is according to the specified format References and citations are appropriate and well mentioned	Project report is according to the specified format References and citations are appropriate but not mentioned well	Project report is according to the specified format but some mistakes In-sufficient references and citations	Project report not prepared according to the specified format References and citations are not appropriate			
b	Description of Concepts and Technical Details	Complete explanation of the key concepts and strong description of the technical requirements of the project	Complete explanation of the key concepts but in-sufficient description of the technical requirements of the project	Incomplete explanation of the key concepts and in-sufficient description of the technical requirements of the project	Inappropriate explanation of the key concepts and poor description of the technical requirements of the project			
c	Conclusion and Discussion	Results are presented in very appropriate manner Project work is well summarized and concluded Future extensions in the project are well specified	Results are presented in good manner Project work summary and conclusion not very appropriate Future extensions in the project are specified	Results presented are not much satisfactory Project work summary and conclusion not very appropriate Future extensions in the project are not specified	Results are not presented properly Project work is not summarized and concluded Future extensions in the project are not specified			